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| General comment: |  |
| Introduction: |  |
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| Results: |  |
| Discussion: |  |
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| Decision:  |  |
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| Requires Minor Corrections: |  |
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#### SECTION V: Additional Comments
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**Appendix**

**Ethical Guidelines for Reviewers**

* Respect confidentiality.
* Respect copyright protection of submissions by not using in their own research or work any unpublished data, information, interpretation, or discussion from a submitted article.
* Maintain objectivity in reviewing submissions and avoid personal criticism of authors.
* Be aware of potential conflicts of interest (financial, institutional, collaborative, or other relationships between the reviewer and author) and be willing to alert the editor to these, even if it means withdrawing themselves from reviewing a manuscript.
* Be vigilant for plagiarized material and/or falsified and/or manipulated data and be willing to alert the editor if this is suspected in a manuscript.