Original Article

Impact of physical activity and diet counseling on the management of Type-2 Diabetic patients undergoing vitamin D supplementation: A Follow-up Study

*Begum N,1 kawser M,2 Tarafdar MA,3 Islam SK,4

Abstract

Objectives: Aimed to determine the impact of dietary advice and regular physical activities on the blood glucose level among Type-2 diabetic mellitus (T2DM) patients undergoing vitamin D supplementation. Methodology: This study was conducted among 124 (Intervention=61, Placebo=63) T2DM patients aged 30-70 years from 2019 to 2020. Socio-demographic characteristics, 24-hour physical activities, 24-hour food recalls, and laboratory analysis of blood Vitamin D, HbA1_c and FBS were estimated at baseline and after 3-month. The impact of vitamin D supplementation, physical activity, and diet counseling on the management of T2DM was measured in multivariable analysis by repeated measure ANOVA. Results: The mean age of respondents was 46.4±9.6 years, majority were illiterate 21(34%) with a mean income 31,098.4 BDT; 63.9% have been suffering from T2DM for <5 years and 56% have a strong family history of Diabetes. It was observed that reducing sedentary lifestyles and increasing physical activity increased calorie expenditure across timelines but not between groups (P>0.05). No significant differences were observed for physical activity levels between groups (Intervention and placebo). Consuming less Carbohydrates and increasing physical activity indicated a corresponding lowering of fasting blood glucose levels but not HbA1c. Compliance with physical activity indicated higher total energy expenditure by the intervention group (2448 kcal) than placebo (2364 kcal). The biochemical profile indicated that vitamin D helps to reducing the fasting blood glucose (10.9 to 8.4 mmol/L) levels along with diet restriction and increased physical activity Conclusion: Advice on simple carbohydrate restriction and increased walking has an impact on lowering fasting blood glucose level but not HbA1C undergoing vitamin D supplementation.

Keywords: Dietary advice, Physical activity, Carbohydrate restriction, Vitamin D Supplementation, Type-2 Diabetes.

Received on: 27.03.2024; Accepted on: 25.04.2024

Introduction

Diabetes is defined as a metabolic disease characterized by persistent hyperglycemia caused by multiple factors including genetics, diet and nutrition, environment, and physical activity. Previously considered a disease of minor significance to health, is now becoming one of the main threats to human health both in developed and developing countries. According to the ninth edition of the IDF Diabetes Atlas in 2019, 488 million adults aged 20–99 years live with diabetes in the world, and the number will reach 578 million by 2030 and 700 million by 2045. It is estimated that 4.2 million adults aged 20–79 years will die of diabetes, which accounts for 11.3% of all deaths and this is equivalent to eight deaths every minute.¹ The term physical activity denotes the movement of the body produced by the skeletal muscle that requires energy expenditure. As a prevention of T2DM physical mobility acts as a cornerstone. Regular exercise has beneficial effects on overall glycemic control through improved glucose tolerance, lowered insulin requirements, and improved insulin sensitivity. These will help slow down the progress of diabetic complications, ultimately establishing a quality of life. During and after physical activity insulin sensitivity increases, so that when muscle cells contract cells can use any available insulin to take up glucose and use it for energy whether insulin is available or not. In this way exercise can help lower blood sugar and as well as lower $A1_c$.² By regular physical activity

Author's Affiliation:

- 1. *Nadia Begum, PhD, Professor & Head, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health. Z.H Sikder Women's Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 2. Mahbuba kawser, Institute of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh
- 3. Monowar Ahmad Tarafdar, Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health. Z.H Sikder Women's Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 4. Sheikh Nazrul Islam, Professor, Institute of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh

Address of Correspondence: *Prof. Dr. Nadia Begum PhD, Professor & Head, Department of Community Medicine & Public Health, ZH Sikder Women's Medical College, Dhaka; Email: nadiabegum.zhs@gmail.com; ORCiD ID- 0000-0003-1052-0993, Cell: +880-01712929996

insulin action can be modified both in the liver and within the muscles. The uptake of glucose in the muscle can be enhanced up to fivefold through insulin-independent mechanisms through aerobic exercise. After a long time of exercise, glucose uptake remains elevated by insulinindependent (2 hours) and insulin-dependent (up to 48 hours) mechanisms, which are linked with muscle glycogen repletion.³ Globally improper dietary habits and lifestyle are responsible for the higher prevalence of Diabetes.⁴ Appropriate quality and quantity of diets can modify glucose homeostasis and multiple metabolic processes.⁵ So unhealthy food intake habits act as drivers of 'Glucose metabolism disorder' which finally leads to diabetes.6 Complex carbohydrates have benefits to metabolic control of diabetes and its complications. Furthermore, dietary proteins stimulate insulin secretion which reduces glycemia among normal and diabetic individuals. A diet rich in protein has beneficial effects that significantly increase insulin sensitivity and decrease inflammation.7 However, the study reported compliance to diet quality improved substantially by only diet counseling.8 Studies reported even advice-only diet restriction (especially carbohydrate) and counseling on doing physical activity (especially walking) contribute to lowering blood glucose levels among poorly controlled/ uncontrolled T2DM.9

On top of that, existing literature showed both promising ¹⁰⁻¹³ and conflicting results¹⁴ about the contribution of vitamin D on glucose homeostasis. Data suggest that vitamin D may directly or directly prevent/correct high-glucose activation, and that hypovitaminosis D-induced increase in renin-angiotensin system (RAS) activity as evidenced at least by experimental animal studies. ^{12,13} Thus, given the importance of deliberating advice on diet and physical exercise among T2DM patients undergoing vitamin D supplementation, this study explored how short-term advice during patients' visits to physicians influences glucose homeostasis while undergoing vitamin D intervention.

Materials and Methods

Study population, site, period, and Ethical permission The study was conducted among 124 (Treatment=61, Placebo=63) vitamin D deficient (<50 nmol/L).¹⁵ T2DM patients aged 30-70 years, during January 2019 to January 2020 and participants who were pregnant or lactating were excluded. Respondents were recruited from Z.H Sikder Women's Medical College and Popular Diagnostic Center. Ethical clearance was approved by the ethical review committee of the Faculty of Biological Science, University of Dhaka. Informed consent (both verbal and written) was taken from each of the subjects according to the 'Declaration of Helsinki'.

Vitamin D Intervention Procedure

Tablet D-Rise. ®20000 (Beximco Pharmaceuticals) contains Cholecalciferol (20000 IU) and was supplemented to the 'Treatment group' for every 5th

day in a week. The 'control or placebo' group received a placebo (Microcrystalline Cellulose BP) that was supplemented simultaneously with the treatment group.

Counseling on diet and physical activity was performed by the investigator while collecting data during the study period. Counseling (10-15 minutes session per visit) on diet restriction (less simple carbohydrates, more whole grains, fruits, and vegetables) and more physical activity (praying 5 times Salah, less watching TV, sleeping and sitting idle) were advised throughout the study; diet (24hour recall) and 24-hour physical activities data were collected at baseline and after three months of the study.

Data collection

Socio-demographic, anthropometric (BMI kg/m²), dietary habits (24-hour recall method), physical activity (24-hour activity pattern), and some biochemical parameters like 25 (OH) D, FBS (mmol/L), and HbA1c level (%) were analyzed at baseline and after 12th weeks (end line), and interpreted with the use of statistics. Both baseline and end-line 24-hour physical activities (including sleeping, working, sitting, exercising, and leisure times), 24-hour food recall, BMI (kg/m2) as well as usual dietary habits (if taken more sugar-rich foods/ day, meal frequency/day) were obtained from diabetic patients in a pre-tested semi-structured questionnaire. Data on the dietary intake and physical activity level (PAL) were collected, carefully checked, and recorded, scrutinized data were then entered into the computer. According to WHO the computation of BMI is body weight in Kg divided by body height in meters². It was categorized as underweight (<18.5kg/m2), normal weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²), overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²), and obesity (\geq 30 kg/m²).¹⁶

Assessment of dietary intake

To measure dietary intake quantitatively a one-day 24-hour food recall was performed for all subjects (both treatment and placebo). The names of all foods (traditional, fast foods, and drinks) consumed by the T2DM patients before 24 hours of the interview at home or outside (e.g., restaurants) were recorded. Moreover, investigators displayed 'portion size' to patients to help them recall and estimate the amount of foods they consumed for each meal and the number of meals/day as exactly as possible. Food consumption data were then transformed into grams (g) or volumes (ml) after coding the food items with the help of a 'Food conversion table of Bangladesh'.17 A special 'Syntax' of statistical package for social science (SPSS) was prepared to endorse all 'Nutrient values' per 100 g according to a serially coded food list. The nutrient composition of the last 24-hour's eaten foods was obtained by running the food analysis through pre-written syntax. The amount of 'Calorie and nutrient values' was transferred into the Microsoft 'Excel sheet' and summed together according to each ID.

Measurement of physical activity : Physical activities reflect the summation of all accumulated physical activities in 24 hours that contribute to the physical activity level (PAL). PAL ratio was estimated through one previous day's '24-hour physical activities' from each respondent, counting through each activity over 1440 minutes. All activities are multiplied by assigned activity codes.¹⁸ and divided by 1440 minutes to get the PAL ratio. There are five types of PAL-ratio Sedentary (little/no exercise), lightly active (light exercise/sports), moderately active (moderate exercise/sports), very active (hard exercise/sports), and extra active (tough exercise/sports/physical job).

Statistical analysis : The normality Test' was performed for all data by Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit. All data (Socio-demographic, anthropometric, dietary, physical activity, and biochemical) were analyzed by the SPSS software package (version 26.0 SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The comparison was done 'within group' (across 2-timelines) and between groups (Intervention vs. placebo). Baseline and end-line differences in food intake (carbohydrate, calorie), 25-hydroxy (OH) cholecalciferol, fasting blood sugar, and HbA1c were analyzed by student's t-test. The impact of vitamin D supplementation, physical activity, and diet counseling on the management of T2DM was measured in multivariable analysis by repeated measure ANOVA (across two timelines) using the General linear model (GLM).



Flow Chart: The study flow chart shows the selection criteria and procedure of the study

Results

Background characteristics of the 'treatment' and 'placebo' groups of T2DM were presented in Table 1. No significant (P>0.05) differences between the 'treatment' and 'placebo' groups were observed in variables like ages in years, sex, education, occupation, living area, religion, monthly income (BDT), BMI (kg/m²), and history and duration of diabetes. The majority of respondents in the

treatment (45.9%) and placebo (46.0%) groups were 41-50 years old and were mainly (57% for both groups) female with a mean age of 46.4 years; both groups were illiterate or completed primary education with a mean income of 31,967.7 (\pm 10150.8) taka (P>0.05), most of the T2DM of both groups have been suffering from T2DM for <5 years, and majority of them had strong family history (P>0.05).

| Characteristics | Total (n=124) | Total (n=124) Treatment (n=61) Pl | | P-value |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | $\frac{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{v}_{0}}{(4(0) + 0, 0)}$ | | <u>n %</u> | |
| Age in years (Mean± SD) | (46.2 ± 9.9) | (46.4 ± 9.6) | (46.1 ± 10.3) | P=.900 |
| 30-40 | 39 (31.5) | 17 (27.9) | 22 (34.9) | |
| 41-50 | 57 (46.0) | 28 (45.9) | 29 (46.0) | D - 961 |
| ≥51 | 28 (22.6) | 16 (26.2) | 12 (19.0) | r=.001 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 56 (45.2) | 26 (42.6) | 30 (47.6) | D- 576 |
| Female | 68 (54.8) | 35 (57.4) | 33 (52.4) | 1570 |
| Education | | | | |
| Illiterate | 42 (33.9) | 21 (34.4) | 21 (33.3) | |
| Primary (1-5 y) | 41 (33.1) | 18 (29.5) | 23 (36.5) | |
| Secondary (6-12y) | 31 (25.0) | 16 (26.2) | 15 (23.8) | P=.790 |
| Masters | 10 (8.0) | 06 (9.9) | 4 (6.3) | ,, • |
| Occupation | | | | |
| Services | 45 (36.3) | 17 (27.9) | 28 (44.4) | |
| Business | 23 (18.5) | 10 (16.4) | 13 (20.6) | |
| Housewives | 29 (23.4) | 18 (29.5) | 11 (17.5) | P = 120 |
| Others | 27 (21.8) | 16 (26.2) | 11 (17.5) | 1129 |
| Living area | | × , | × / | |
| Urban | 113 (91.1) | 54 (88.5) | 59 (93.5) | P=.359 |
| Rural | 11 (8.9) | 07 (11.5) | 04 (6.3) | |
| | (31.967.7 | () | | |
| | (31,507.1 | (31,098.4 | (32,809.5 | |
| Income (BD1)(Mean± SD) | $\pm 10150.8)$ | ±10738.9) | ±9557.7) | |
| | | | | P=.350 |
| ≤20000 | 22 (17.7) | 11 (18.0) | 11 (17.5) | |
| 20001-30000 | 48 (38.7) | 27 (44.3) | 21 (33.3) | |
| ≥30001 | 54 (43.5) | 23 (37.7) | 31 (49.2) | |
| BMI (Kg/m ²) | | | | |
| Normal (18.5-24.9) | 45 (36.3) | 23 (37.7) | 22 (34.9) | |
| Overweight (25-29.9) | 65 (52.4) | 32 (52.5) | 33 (52.4) | P=.321 |
| Obese (≥30) | 14 (11.3) | 06 (9.8) | 08 (12.7) | |
| Duration of diabetes | | | | |
| <5-years | 82 (66.1) | 39 (63.9) | 43 (68.3) | D (11 |
| ≥5-years | 42 (33.9) | 22 (36.1) | 20 (31.7) | P=.611 |
| History of family-diabetes | ``' | 、 | × / | |
| Yes | 77 (62.1) | 34 (55.7) | 43 (68.3) | _ · - · |
| No | 47 (37.9) | 27 (44.3) | 20 (31.7) | P=.151 |

Table No. 1: Background characteristics of the Treatment and Placebo Group (n=124)

Per day food and 'calorie consumption patterns' of both T2DM patients by food groups are listed in Table 2 (baseline) and Table 3 (end line/after 3-months vitamin D intervention). By consuming the major food groups like cereals and grains, meat, fish, eggs, milk, lentils, green leafy, non-leafy vegetables and fruits, diabetic patients obtained respectively (total) 2698.4 kcal and 2743.3kcal for treatment and placebo group. Most of the calories came from carbohydrates, especially rice. The treatment and placebo groups consumed respectively total of 134.47±38.3 g and 142.77±49.6g carbohydrate; 407.63±38.9g and 413.33±46.7g protein, and 40.53±15.5g and 38.88±14.52g fat at baseline (Table 2).

| Food Sources | Treatment | Kcal/day | Placebo | Kcal/day | P-value |
|---------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| | (Food weight, g/day) | | (Food weight, g/day) | | |
| | Mean ±SD | | Mean ±SD | | |
| Rice | 58.94±16.3 | 235.8 | 60.0±17.3 | 240.0 | 0.877 |
| Wheat | $60.0{\pm}30.0$ | 240.0 | 66.66 ± 36.0 | 266.6 | 0.611 |
| Potato | 15.53 ± 4.7 | 62.11 | 16.11±4.85 | 64.4 | 0.763 |
| GLVs | 37.89±13.6 | 151.6 | 36.66±13.2 | 146.6 | 0.595 |
| NLVs | 66.84±32.5 | 267.4 | 74.44 ± 39.7 | 297.8 | 0.929 |
| Fruits | 44.21±6.07 | 176.8 | 44.44±7.26 | 177.8 | 0.737 |
| Total Carbs | 134.47±38.3 | 537.9 | 142.77±49.6 | 571.1 | 0.630 |
| Beef | 29.73±8.07 | 118.9 | 27.22±3.63 | 108.9 | 0.384 |
| Chicken | 44.21±15.4 | 176.8 | 46.66±15.8 | 186.6 | 0.699 |
| Egg | $50.0{\pm}0.00$ | 200.0 | $50.00 \pm .00$ | 200.0 | 0.929 |
| Milk | 39.47±14.3 | 157.9 | $40.00{\pm}15.0$ | 160.0 | 0.890 |
| Fish | 32.63±2.6 | 130.5 | 32.77±2.63 | 131.1 | 0.828 |
| Lentil | 62.63±17.2 | 250.5 | 61.11±16.91 | 244.4 | 0.823 |
| Total Protein | 407.63±38.9 | 1630.5 | 413.33±46.7 | 1653.9 | 0.756 |
| Plant oil | 40.53±15.5 | 364.74 | 38.88±14.52 | 349.9 | 0.788 |
| Total energy | - | 2698.4 | - | 2743.3 | 0.933 |

Table No. 2: Amount of dietary intake (g/day) at baseline by 'treatment' and 'Placebo' according to the 'food groups' and 'calorie consumption/day'

It is noticed that the amount of calorie consumption according to food groups was independent (P>0.05) between the two groups for both at baseline (2698.4 kcal verses 2743.3 kcal) (table 2) and end line (2549.5 verses 2575.7 kcal) (table 3). After 3 months periods of diet counseling treatment groups consumed 148.9 kcal less at the end line, and also a significant reduction of carbohydrates than baseline among the treatment group while increments of carb consumption among placebo were observed (Table 3).

| Table No. 3: Amount of dietary intake (g/day) at end line by 'treatment' and 'Placebo' according to the 'foo groups' and 'calorie consumption /day' | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------|---------|----------|---------|---|--|--|
| Food Sources | Treatment | Kcal | Placebo | Kcal/dav | P-value | 7 | | |

| Food Sources | Treatment | Kcal | Placebo | Kcal/day | P-value |
|---------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|----------|----------------|
| | (Mean weight) (g/ | | (Mean weight) (g/day) | | |
| | day) | | | | |
| Rice | 58.02±4.2 | 232.09 | 58.59±5.1 | 234.75 | 0.575 |
| Wheat | 60.6 ± 3.0 | 242.4 | 61.13±6.6 | 245.5 | 0.635 |
| Potato | 15.33±3.6 | 61.33 | 16.58 ± 2.9 | 68.3 | 0.08 |
| GLVs | 38.09±3.4 | 152.22 | 38.75±2.9 | 154.65 | 0.351 |
| NLVs | 65.94±3.7 | 261.56 | 66.15±9.9 | 266.6 | 0.898 |
| Fruits | 45.0±3.1 | 180.0 | 45.6±2.7 | 182.65 | 0.337 |
| Total Carbs | 282.99±5.7 | 1129.0 | 286.8±14.6 | 1139.0 | 0.109 |
| Beef | 30.2±3.2 | 120.6 | 29.4±2.2 | 117.4 | 0.219 |
| Chicken | 45.1±3.1 | 180.5 | 45.7±2.4 | 183.2 | 0.382 |
| Egg | 50.0±3.2 | 201.1 | 50.6 ± 2.9 | 203.4 | 0.396 |
| Milk | 40.0±3.1 | 160.0 | 40.9 ± 2.4 | 164.5 | 0.123 |
| Fish | 32.01±3.2 | 128.0 | 34.8±2.41 | 134.23 | 0.208 |
| Lentil | 62.97±3.0 | 252.2 | 63.63±3.06 | 254.5 | 0.321 |
| Total Protein | 260.3±18.1 | 1042.5 | 263.5±10.87 | 1054.18 | 0.135 |
| Plant oil | 42.0±3.13 | 378.0 | 42.5±2.91 | 382.5 | 0.449 |
| Total energy | - | 2549.5 | _ | 2575.7 | 0.333 |



Fig 1: Dietary calorie consumption of the treatment and placebo groups from baseline to the end-line

Fig1: also represents insignificant differences in dietary calorie consumption between the treatment and placebo groups from baseline to the end line.

Table No. 4 and Table 5 respectively represent 'physical activity' levels at baseline and the end line between 'treatment' and 'Placebo' groups. No significant differences were observed for physical activity levels (PALs) between the two groups (P>0.05) both at baseline (2429.0 minutes/1440 minutes= PAL 1.7 verses 2359.2/1440= PAL 1.7) (table 4) and at end line (2488.6/1440= PAL 1.7) verses 2364.5/1440= PAL 1.7) (table 5).

| Table No. 4: Physical : | activity levels | performed by | 'treatment' and | 'Placebo' at baseline |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|

| | Physical activities at baseline | Treatment (n=61) (Minutes) | values | Minutes* Values | Placebo (n=63) (Minutes) | Values | Minutes* Values |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | sleeping | 500 | 1.0 | 500.0 | 600 | 1.0 | 600.0 |
| | lying | 40 | 1.2 | 48.0 | 30 | 1.2 | 36.0 |
| | eating | 90 | 1.6 | 144.0 | 70 | 1.6 | 112.0 |
| Personal | dressing | 40 | 3.3 | 132.0 | 20 | 3.3 | 66.0 |
| activities | shower | 35 | 1.5 | 52.5.0 | 45 | 1.5 | 67.5 |
| | recreation | 65 | 1.72 | 111.8 | 35 | 1.72 | 60.2 |
| | walking | 50 | 3.0 | 150.0 | 40 | 3.0 | 120.0 |
| | praying/moving/strolling | 120 | 2.5 | 300.0 | 90 | 2.5 | 225.0 |
| Personal | activities total | 940 minutes | | | 930 minutes | - | |
| | Washing dishes | 60 | 1.7 | 102.0 | 50 | 1.7 | 85.0 |
| ousehold | house cleaning | 65 | 3.0 | 195.0 | 90 | 3.0 | 270.0 |
| chores | cooking | 120 | 2.0 | 240.0 | 115 | 2.0 | 230.0 |
| | washing clothes | 65 | 3.0 | 195.0 | 75 | 3.0 | 225.0 |
| Total Hou | isehold chores | 310 minutes | - | | 330 minutes | - | |
| Trips | daily trips | 85 | 1.2 | 102.0 | 75 | 1.2 | 90.0 |
| - | occupational activities | 105 | 1.5 | 157.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 172.5 |
| Total daily | y trips and occupational activities | ADD | - | 102.0 | | - | 90.0 |
| Grand To | tal | 1440 | - | 2429.8 | 1440 | - | 2359.2 |
| Mean PA | L value | 2429/1440= 1.7 | | | 2359 | .2/1440=1 | .7 |

Table No. 5: Physical activity levels performed by 'treatment' and 'Placebo' at the end line

| | Physical activities | Treatment | PAL | Minutes* | Placebo | Values | Minutes* |
|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------|--------|----------|
| | at end line | (Minutes) | Values | Values | (Minutes) | | Values |
| Personal | Sleeping | 500 | 1.1 | 500 | 600 | 1.0 | 600 |
| Activities | lying | 50 | 1.2 | 60 | 40 | 1.2 | 48 |
| | Eating | 80 | 1.6 | 128 | 60 | 1.6 | 96 |
| | Dressing | 40 | 3.3 | 132 | 20 | 3.3 | 66 |
| | Showering | 45 | 1.5 | 67.5 | 55 | 1.5 | 82.5 |
| | Recreation | 55 | 1.72 | 94.6 | 25 | 1.72 | 43 |
| | Walking | 60 | 3.0 | 180 | 50 | 3.0 | 150 |
| | Praying/moving/ strolling | 110 | 2.5 | 275 | 80 | 2.5 | 200 |
| Total Person | al Activities | 940 minutes | - | | 930 minutes | - | |
| Household | washing dishes | 50 | 1.7 | 85 | 40 | 1.7 | 68 |
| chores | House cleaning | 75 | 3.0 | 225 | 100 | 3.0 | 300 |
| | cooking | 110 | 2.0 | 220 | 105 | 2.0 | 210 |
| | Washing clothes | 75 | 3.0 | 225 | 85 | 3.0 | 255 |
| Total househ | nold chores | 1250 | - | | 1260 | - | |
| Trips | Daily trips | 95 | 1.2 | 114 | 80 | 1.2 | 96 |
| | Occupational activities | 95 | 1.5 | 142.5 | 100 | 1.5 | 150 |
| Total Trips & | <u>k occupational acts</u> | 190 | - | | 180 | - | |
| Grand Total | (time) | 1440 | - | 2448.6 | 1400 | - | 2364.5 |
| Mean PAL V | /alue | 2448.6/1440 = 1.7 | | 2364.5/1440 = 1.7 | | | |

Table No. 6 outlines the influence of dietary calorie and physical activity levels on fasting blood glucose, HbA₁C, and Vitamin D levels. All biochemical indices especially vitamin D, and FBG were significantly different (P=0.000) in the treatment group while an insignificant increase in vitamin D, and FBG in the placebo group was observed.

Table No. 6: Influence of dietary calorie and physical activity level on fasting blood glucose, HbA₁C, and Vitamin D levels

| | Treatment | | Placebo | | | #D tuon d | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Parameter tested | | | P-trend | | | P-trend | (Treatment |
| i arameter tested | Baseline | End line | Within | Baseline | End line | (Within two | Vs Placebo) |
| | | | groups | | | timelines) | v 5. 1 lacebo) |
| Energy yielded from daily | 2698.4 | 2549 5 | | 2743 3 | 2575 7 | | P>0.05 |
| (24-hours) food intake (kcal) | 2070.4 | 2547.5 | P<0.05 | 2743.5 | 2313.1 | P<0.05 | 1 > 0.05 |
| (Average of the 2-timelines) | | 2623.95 kcal | | | 2659.5 kcal | | P>0.05 |
| Energy Used by Different Physical | 2420.0 | 2448.6 | | 2350.2 | 2364 5 | | *P<0.05 |
| Activities of Daily Life (kcal) | 2429.0 | 2440.0 | P>0.05 | 2339.2 | 2304.3 | P>0.05 | |
| (Average of the 2-timelines) | | 2438.8 kcal | | | 2361.85 kcal | | *P<0.05 |
| Vitamin D (ng/ml) | 14 5+6 1 | 25 8+7 5 | P<0.001 | 10 4+9 9 | 20 5+5 2 | | **D<0.001 |
| (25-hydroxy(OH) cholecalciferol) | 14.J±0.1 | 55.8±7.5 | | 19.4±0.0 | 20.3±3.2 | P>0.05 | ···F<0.001 |
| (Mean ±SD) | | 25.2(ng/ml) | | | 10.05(ng/m) | | **D<0.001 |
| (Average of the 2-timelines) | | 23.2(ng/mi) | | | 19.93(ng/nn) |) | ··P<0.001 |
| HbA_1C (%) (Mean $\pm SD$) | 8.97±1.9 | 8.5 ± 2.6 | P=.004 | $7.9{\pm}2.1$ | 7.7 ± 0.60 | P=.587 | **P<0.001 |
| (Average of the 2-timelines) | | 8.74% | | | 7.8% | | **P<0.001 |
| Fasting Blood Glucose/FBG | 10.9 ± 3.5 | $8.42{\pm}1.7$ | P<0.001 | 10.6±2.4 | 11.5±2.3 | P>0.05 | **P<0.001 |
| (mmol/L) (Mean ±SD) | | 9.65mmol/l | | | 11.05.000.01/1 | | **D<0.001 |
| (Average of the 2-timelines) | | | | | 11.03mm0l/l | | ···P<0.001 |

PAL = physical activity level, or energy requirement expressed as a multiple of 24-hour BMR

*GLM Model repeated measure ANOVA, Significance *P<0.05, **P<0.001,

Discussion

This study showed that counseling on diet (advised to reduce simple sugar) during the intervention periods (baseline to end line) improved the food habits of both groups as they consumed less energy than baseline, while mean calorie intake between the groups was independent (P>0.05) across timelines (Table No. 6). Contrarily, counseling on physical activity (advised to walk regularly to improve insulin sensitivity) improved energy expenditure through activities in the treatment group as compared to placebo (P<0.05) (Table No. 6), while within timelines both groups did not improve physical activity levels (PAL 1.7 for both groups across two timelines) (Table No. 4 and 5).

Diet and Physical Activity are demanding foci for blood glucose management. In this study, both groups were counseled on a diabetes diet and were also motivated by the enhancement of physical activity. A significant improvement in vitamin D levels and reduction of fasting blood glucose (FBS) levels among the treatment group than placebo was observed. As vitamin D increased in the end-line fasting blood glucose level decreased in treatment groups as well, however, this effect was not effective for glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (Table No. 6).

An attempt to consume simple sugar-restricted diets and increase physical activity indicated a corresponding lowering of blood glucose levels. After 3 months of counseling on diet restriction and encouragement to do regular physical activity (especially walking), the calorie intake of T2DM patients was reduced to 2549.5 kcal from 2698.4 kcal which may contributed to a reduction in the fasting blood glucose from 10.9 to 8.42 mmol/L. These findings are somewhat consistent with another study.¹¹ Notably, Vitamin D plays an important role in immune function and inflammation and physical activity demonstrates a relationship to syntheses of vitamin D, considering to necessary to factors immunologic, environmental and physical. Meta-analysis showed that there was a positive trend between serum 25(OH)D <20 ng/ml and body mass index, vitamin D levels, and high physical activity required sufficient serum levels of 25(OH)D, and physical activity has a stimulating effect on vitamin D synthesis [Mori et al, 2022].¹⁹

This study showed 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels were significantly higher in the treatment group as compared to placebo after vitamin D supplementation (treatment: 35.8 ± 7.5 ng/mL versus placebo: 20.05 ± 5.2 ng/mL, P=0.001) which is obviously for intervention study, while physical activity did not influence vitamin D level as equal PAL value (1.7) was observed across two timelines, and energy intake from food was reduced (P<0.05) in both groups (within effect) only in timelines but was not significant (P>0,05) between treatment and placebo groups. Similarly, diet restriction and walking did not influence HbA1C, except for lowering the fasting blood glucose. Pittas et al (2019)¹⁴ also outlined that vitamin D3 supplementation (4000 IU/day) among persons (not selected for vitamin D insufficiency) at high risk for T2DM, did not result in a significantly lower risk of diabetes than placebo. Notably, Mazahery & von Hurst (2015)the effect on $25(OH^{20}$ reviewed that several demographic/biological factors (baseline 25(OH)D, aging, BMI, body fat percentage, ethnicity, calcium intake, genetics, estrogen use), dietary fat content and composition, some diseases and medications, environment (season), type, dose, and duration of vitamin D supplementation have been associated with vitamin D concentration.

Limitation: Follow-up on the study subjects was hampered due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Conclusion: Changes in lifestyle and dietary and physical factors should be focused on for the correction of the rapid increase in the incidence of T2DM. According to the American Diabetes Association, self-dietary management (both type and quantity of food) acts as the key step for diabetics. At regular intervals, meals should be consumed with low fat and high fiber contents including a limited amount of simple carbohydrates/sugar.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank the Z H Sikder Womens Medical College and Hospital for supporting and facilitating the recruitment of diabetic patients and also special thanks to all the participants for giving their valuable support to answer.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interests.

References

- Guo Y, Huang Z, Sang D, Gao Q, Li Q (2020) The Role of Nutrition in the Prevention and Intervention of Type 2 Diabetes. Front. Bioeng.Biotechnol. 8:575442.doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.575442
- Al Thani M, Sadoun E, Sofroniou A, Jayyousi A, Baagar K.A.M., Al Hammaq A, Vinodson B, Akram H, Bhatti Z.S, Nasser H.S & Leventakou V. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on the glycemic control of prediabetic Qatari patients in a randomized control trial. BMC Nutrition 2019; 5(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40795-019-0311-x
- Sheri R. Colberg, Ronald J. Sigal, Jane E. Yardley, Michael C. Riddell, David W. Dunstan, Paddy C. Dempsey, Edward S. Horton, Kristin Castorino, Deborah F. Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association Diabetes Care 2016;39:2065–2079 | DOI: 10.2337/dc16-1728
- Malik V.S, Willett W.C, and Hu F.B. Global obesity: trends, risk factors, and policy implications. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol 2013; 9: 13–27. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2012.199
- Manore M. M, Larson-Meyer D. E, Lindsay A.R. Hongu, N, and Houtkooper, L. Dynamic energy balance: an integrated framework for discussing diet and physical activity in obesity prevention it more than eating less and exercising and exercising more? Nutrients 2017; 9:905. doi: 10.3390/nu9080905
- Rico-Campa A, Martinez-Gonzalez M. A, Alvarez-Alvarez I, Mendonca R D, de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Gomez-Donoso C et al. Association between consumption of ultraprocessed foods and all-cause mortality: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2019;365: 11949. doi:10.1136/bmj.11949.
- Russell W.R. Baka A, Bjorck I, Delzenne, N, Gao D, Griffiths H.R., et al. Impact of diet composition on blood glucose regulation. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2016;56: 541–590. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2013.792772.
- 8. Siddiqui A, Gul A, Ahmedani M.Y. Compliance to dietary counseling provided to patients with type 2 diabetes at a tertiary care hospital. J Diabetol 2010;1: 5.

- Nasrin S, Kawser M, Ahmed SUN, Khan MNI, Islam SN. Advice-only Diet Restriction and Physical Activity: Influence on Blood Glucose Level of Poorly Controlled Type 2 Diabetic Patients. J Food and Nutritional Science 2020;7(1):51-56. DOI: 10.15436/2377-0619.20.2716
- 10. Sacerdote A, Dave P, Lokshin V, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus, insulin resistance, and vitamin D. Curr Diab Rep 2019;19:101.
- 11. Park SK, Garland CF, Gorham ED, et al. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration and risk of type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetes: 12-year cohort study. PLoS One 2018;13:e0193070.
- 12. Cheng Q, Boucher BJ, Leung PS. Modulation of hypovitaminosis D-induced islet dysfunction and insulin resistance through direct suppression of the pancreatic islet renin-angiotensin system in mice. Diabetologia 2013;56:553-62.
- Leung PS. Does vitamin D supplementation reduce type 2 diabetes risk? Ann Transl Med 2019;7(22):614. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.10.94
- Pittas AG, Dawson-Hughes B, Sheehan P, Ware JH, Knowler WC, Aroda VR, Brodsky I, Ceglia L, Chadha C et al; D2d Research Group. Vitamin D Supplementation and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2019 Aug 8;381(6):520-530. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1900906. Epub 2019 Jun 7. PMID: 31173679; PMCID: PMC6993875.
- Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, Murad MH, Weaver CM; Endocrine Society. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011 Jul;96(7):1911-30. doi: 10.1210/jc.2011-0385. Epub 2011 Jun 6. Erratum in: J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011 Dec;96(12):3908. PMID: 21646368.
- WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies [published correction appears in Lancet. 2004 Mar 13; 363(9412):902]. Lancet. 2004;363:157–163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3</u>.
- 17. Shaheen N, Rahim ATMA, Mohiduzzaman M, Banu CP et al. Food Composition Table for Bangladesh, 2013. Institute of Nutrition and Food Science and Centre for Advanced Research in Sciences, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh.
- FAO (2005). UNU Expert Consultation. Human energy requirements: report of a joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation. In: Food and Nutrition Bulletin. Vol 26. ; 2005:63-66. Chapter 5. Energy requirements of Adults from pages 35-50. <u>finalenergyconversionreport.pdf (fao. org)</u>
- Mori B, Barcellos JFM, Lima LER, Zaranza V, Autran RG, Camargo EB, Souza CSM. Relationship between vitamin D and physical activity: systematic review and meta-analysis. Braz J Biol. 2022 Nov 28;82:e263882. doi: 10.1590/1519-6984.263882. PMID: 36449828.
- Mazahery, H., & Von, Hurst, Pamela, R. (2015). Factors affecting 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration in response to vitamin D supplementation. *Nutrients*, 7(7), 5111–5142. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7075111